

Multi-wavelength Observations of Jets at High and Low X-ray Luminosities

Elena Gallo

Physics Department, University of California Santa Barbara, CA 93106 – Chandra Fellow

Abstract. I shall briefly review the observational properties of radio-emitting jets from Galactic X-ray binaries in relation with other wavebands: infrared, optical and X-ray. Special attention is paid to recent results obtained with the Spitzer Space Telescope on quiescent black holes as well as ultra-compact neutron star X-ray binaries.

1. Introduction

Traditionally, the key observational aspect of X-ray binary jets lies in their synchrotron radio emission. Such jets appear to come in two types: milliarcsec-scale, continuous jets with flat radio-mm spectra, and arcsec-scale optically thin jets resolved into discrete plasmons moving away from the binary core (Fender 2006). These are commonly referred to as *steady* and *transient* jets, respectively. Over the last few years, however, observations at higher wavelengths have added substantially to our knowledge in this field. In the following, I shall review the properties of X-ray binary jets in response to global changes in the accretion flow, focusing on recent progress made in the mid-IR thanks to the unprecedented sensitivity of the Spitzer Space Telescope.

2. Overview: Radio Jets and X-ray States

2.1. Black Holes

Radiatively inefficient hard X-ray states are associated with flat/slightly inverted radio-to-mm spectra and persistent radio flux levels (Fender 2001). In analogy with compact extragalactic radio sources (Blandford & Königl 1979), the flat spectra are thought to be due to the superimposition of a number of peaked synchrotron spectra generated along a conical jet, with the emitting plasma becoming progressively thinner at lower frequencies as it travels away from the jet base. The jet interpretation has been confirmed by high resolution radio maps of two hard state black hole X-ray binaries (BHBs): Cygnus X-1 (Stirling et al. 2001) and GRS 1915+105 (Dhawan et al. 2000) are both resolved into elongated radio sources on milliarcsec scales – that is tens of A.U. – implying collimation angles smaller than a few degrees. Even though no collimated radio jet has been resolved in any BHB emitting X-rays below a few per cent of the Eddington limit, it is widely accepted, by analogy with the two above-mentioned systems, that the flat radio spectra associated with unresolved radio counterparts of X-ray binaries originate in conical outflows. Yet, it remains to be proven whether such an outflow would maintain highly collimated at very low luminosity levels, in the so-called ‘quiescent’ regime.

Radiatively efficient, thermal dominant X-ray states, on the contrary, are associated with no detectable core radio emission (Fender et al. 1999); as the radio fluxes drop by a factor up to 50 with respect to the hard state (e.g. Corbel & Fender 2002, Corbel et al. 2004), this is generally interpreted as the physical suppression of the jet taking place over this regime.

Transient ejections of optically thin radio plasmons moving away from the binary core in opposite directions are often observed as a result of bright radio flares associated with hard-to-thermal X-ray state transitions.

2.2. Neutron Stars

Low magnetic field, ‘atoll-type’ neutron star X-ray binaries share many X-ray spectral and timing properties with BHBs and show two distinct X-ray states, which can be directly compared to the hard and thermal state of BHBs; the reader is referred to Migliari & Fender (2006) for a comprehensive work on correlated radio and X-ray properties of neutron stars (NSs). The main differences/similarities can be summarized as follows: as for the BHBs, below a few per cent of their Eddington luminosity, NSs power steady, self-absorbed jets. Transient optically thin plasmons are ejected at higher X-ray luminosities, in response to rapid X-ray state changes. However, *NSs are less ‘radio loud’ than BHBs*. At a given L_X/L_{Edd} , the difference in radio luminosity is typically a factor ~ 30 , which can not be accounted for by the mass ratio alone. In contrast to BHs, atolls have been detected in the radio band while in the soft X-ray state, suggesting that the jet quenching in disk-dominated states may not be so extreme. Like in the BHBs, the radio luminosity of hard state NSs scales non-linearly with the X-ray luminosity, even though the slope of the radio-to-X-ray correlation –albeit the low statistics– appears to be steeper for the NSs ($L_{\text{radio}} \propto L_X^b$, with $b \simeq 0.7$ for the BHs, and $b \simeq 1.4$ for the NSs). High magnetic field, ‘Z-type’ NSs, being persistently close to the Eddington accretion rate, behave similar to the rapidly varying BHB GRS 1915+105.

Overall, these results indicate that, at the zeroth order, the process of continuous jet formation works similarly in accreting BHs and (at least certain) NSs. Even though quantitative differences remain to be explained, such as the relative dimness of neutron star jets with respect to BH jets, this indicates that the presence of an accretion disk coupled to an intense gravitational field could be the necessary and sufficient ingredients for steady relativistic jets to be formed, regardless of the presence/absence of an event horizon. The role played by the NS dipole magnetic field in producing/inhibiting the jet production mechanism is far from being clear, although it is generally assumed that the higher the magnetic field, the lower the jet power. Further radio observations of X-ray pulsars and ms accreting X-ray pulsars are definitely needed in order to place stronger observational constraints. In addition, it is worth remembering that the most relativistic Galactic jet source observed so far is a NS (Fender et al. 2004), contrary to what it might be expected according to the so-called ‘escape-velocity paradigm’.

3. Black Holes Unified Model: Journey through an Outburst

As proven by the case of GRS1915+105, the same source can produce either kind of jets (steady and transient), dependent (somehow) on the mass accretion rate, or, in other words, on the X-ray spectral state. Thus, a fundamental question arises: do the steady jet and the optically-thin-discrete ejections differ fundamentally (e.g. in their production mechanism, power content)? Or are they different manifestations of the same phenomenon?

Fender, Belloni, & Gallo (2004) have addressed this issue by carefully examining the behaviour of 4 BHB systems (GRS1915+105, GX339-4, XTEJ1859+226 and XTE J1550-564) for which nearly simultaneous radio/X-ray coverage over different X-ray spectral states is available in the literature. Based upon a detailed study of these 4 sources and by comparing their properties to the whole sample of known BHBs, we have attempted to construct a unified, semi-quantitative, model for the disc-jet coupling in BHBs. The model can be summarized as follows (see Figure 7 in the paper for a schematic illustrating a typical BHB outburst cycle): Starting from the bottom right corner, the system is in a low-luminosity hard X-ray state, producing a mildly relativistic, persistent outflow, with a flat radio spectrum. Its luminosity starts to increase at all wavelengths, while the X-ray spectrum remains hard; around a few per cent of the Eddington X-ray luminosity, a sudden transition is made (top horizontal branch) during which the global properties of the accretion flow change from radiatively inefficient to efficient (hard-to-thermal dominant state transition), while a bright radio flare is observed, likely due to a sudden ejection episode. This is interpreted as the result of the inner radius of a geometrically thin accretion disc moving inward, as illustrated in the bottom panel: the Lorentz factor of the ejected material, due to the deeper potential well, exceeds that of the hard state jet, causing an internal shock to propagate through it, and to possibly disrupt it. Once the transition to the thermal dominant state is made, no core radio emission is observed, while large scale rapidly fading radio plasmons are often seen moving in opposite direction with highly relativistic speed.

The bright radio flare associated with the transition could coincide with the very moment in which the hot corona of thermal electrons, responsible for the X-ray power law in the spectra of hard state BHBs, is accelerated and ultimately evacuated. This idea of a sudden evacuation of inner disc material is not entirely new, and in fact dates back to extensive RXTE/PCA observations of the rapidly varying GRS 1915+105: despite their complexity, the source spectral changes could be accounted for by the rapid removal of the inner region of an optically thick accretion disc, followed by a slower replenishment, with the time-scale for each event set by the extent of the missing part of the disc (Belloni et al. 1997a,b). Subsequently, multi-wavelength (radio, infrared, and X-ray) monitoring of the same source suggested a connection between the rapid disappearance and follow-up replenishment of the inner disc seen in the X-rays, with the infrared flare starting during the recovery from the X-ray dip, when an X-ray spike was observed.

Yet it remains unclear what drives the transition in the radio properties after the hard X-ray state peak is reached. Specifically, radio observations of GX 339-4, XTE J1550-564, and GRS 1915+105 indicate that in this phase the jet spectral index seems to ‘oscillate’ in an odd fashion, from flat to inverted to optically thin, as if the jet was experiencing some kind of instability as the X-ray spectrum softens. Recent simultaneous RXTE and INTEGRAL observations of GX 339-4 (Belloni et al. 2006) have shown that the high energy (few 100s of keV) cutoff typical of hard state X-ray spectra either disappears or shifts towards much higher energies within timescales of hours (<8 hr) during the transition. Previous suggestions of such behaviour were based on X-ray monitoring campaigns with instruments such as OSSE, for which the long integration times required in order to accumulate significant statistics did not allow constraints to be placed on the timing and significance of rapid changes in the X-ray spectra. The suggestion that the so called ‘jet line’, where the radio flare is observed and the core radio emission is suddenly quenched, might correspond to a peculiar region in the time domain seems to be at odds with recent radio observations (Fender et al., in prep.).

Finally, there are at least a couple of recent results that might challenge some of the premises the unified scheme is based on. The first one is the notion that, for the internal shock scenario to be at work and give rise to the bright radio flare at the state transition,

whatever is ejected must have a higher velocity with respect to the pre-existing hard state steady jet. From an observational point of view, this was supported, on one side, by the lower limits on the transient jets' Lorentz factors, typically higher than 2 (Fender 2003), and, on the other hand, by the relatively small scatter about the radio/X-ray correlation in hard state BHBs (Gallo, Fender, & Pooley 2003). The latter has been challenged on theoretical grounds (Heinz & Merloni 2004); while a recent work (Miller-Jones et al. 2006) has demonstrated that, from an observational point of view, the average Lorentz factors do not differ substantially between hard and transient jets (albeit the estimated Lorentz factors rely on the assumption of no lateral confinement).

In addition, recent high statistics X-ray observations of a hard state BHB undergoing outburst (Miller et al. 2006) suggest that a cool, thin accretion disc extends to near the innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO) already during the bright phases of the hard state, that is, prior to the horizontal branch in the top panel of Figure 7 in Fender, Belloni, & Gallo. This would challenge the hypothesis of a sudden deepening of the inner disc potential well as the cause of a high Lorentz factor ejection. Possibly, whether the inner disc radius moves close to the hole prior or during the softening of the X-ray spectrum does not play such a crucial role in terms of jet properties; if so, then the attention should be diverted to a different component, such as the presence/absence, or the size (Homan et al. 2001), of a Comptonizing corona (which could in fact coincide with the very jet base (Markoff, Nowak, & Wilms 2005)). In a recent paper, Liu et al. (2007) give theoretical support to the observational results by Miller et al.: within the framework of the disc evaporation model (see references within Liu et al. 2007), it is found that a weak, condensation-fed *inner* disc can be present in the hard state of black hole transient systems for Eddington-scaled luminosities as low as 10^{-3} (depending on the magnitude of the viscosity parameter).

One of the most interesting aspects of this proposed scheme – assuming that is correct in its general principles – is obviously its possible application to super-massive BHs in Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN), and the possibility of mirroring different X-ray binary states in different classes of AGN: radio loud vs. radio quiet, LLAGN, FRI, FRII etc. The interested reader is referred to K rding et al. (2006).

4. Luminosity Correlations

4.1. Radio/X-ray

In an attempt to assess the relation between accretion and jet production in hard state BHBs, Corbel et al. (2003) and Gallo, Fender & Pooley (2003) have established the existence of a tight correlation between the X-ray and the radio luminosity (L_X and L_R), of the form $L_R \propto L_X^{0.7 \pm 0.1}$. In those works, 10 hard state systems with nearly simultaneous radio/X-ray observations were considered; the correlation was found to hold over more than 3 orders of magnitude in L_X , up to a few per cent of L_{Edd} , above which the sources enter the thermal dominant state, and the core radio emission drops below detectable levels. Probably the most notable implication of the non-linear scaling was the predicted existence of a critical X-ray luminosity below which a significant fraction of the liberated accretion power is channelled into a radiatively inefficient outflow, rather than being dissipated locally by the inflow of gas and emitted in the form of X-rays (this does not necessarily imply the X-ray spectrum is dominated by non-thermal emission from the jet, as most of the jet power may be stored as kinetic energy; Fender, Gallo, & Jonker 2003).

The simultaneous VLA/*Chandra* observations of the $10^{-8.5} L_{\text{Edd}}$ BHB A0620–00 allowed us to probe the radio/X-ray correlation for BHBs down to the truly quiescent regime. The




Figure 1. Optical-IR vs. X-ray correlation in hard state black holes. From Russell et al. (2006)

measured radio/X-ray fluxes seem to confirm the existence of a non-linear scaling between the radio and X-ray luminosity in this system; with the addition of the A0620–00 point, $L_R \propto L_X^{0.58 \pm 0.16}$ provides a good fit to the data for L_X spanning between $10^{-8.5}$ and $10^{-2} L_{\text{Edd}}$. The fitted slope, albeit consistent with the previously reported value of 0.7 ± 0.1 , is admittedly affected by the uncertainties in the distance to GX339–4, for which the correlation extends over 3 orders of magnitude in L_X and holds over different epochs.

Even though the A0620–00 data appear to follow the hard state radio/X-ray correlation, since 2003, when the compilation of quasi-simultaneous radio/X-ray observations of hard state BHBs was presented, many outliers have been found. To mention a few: XTE J1720–318 (Chaty 2006, Brocksopp et al. 2006), SWIFT J1753.5–0127 (Cadolle-Bel et al. 2006), IGR J17497–2821 (Rodríguez et al. 2007) and XTE J1650–500 (Corbel et al. 2004), while in the hard state, all appear to lie significantly below the best-fitting correlation. Beside casting doubts on the possibility of relying on the best-fitting relation for estimating other quantities, such as distance or mass, this suggests that the correlation between radio and X-ray properties of outbursting BHBs is far more complex than previously thought.

4.2. Optical-IR/X-ray

In order to quantify the relative importance of jet vs. disc emission as a function of the state and luminosity in a frequency window that is undoubtedly crucial and yet shaped by a number of competing mechanisms, Russell et al. (2006) put together nearly-simultaneous optical-IR (termed OIR) and X-ray observations of X-ray binaries, BHs, and neutron stars. A global correlation is found between OIR and X-ray luminosity for low-mass BHBs in the hard state, of the form $L_{\text{OIR}} \propto L_X^{0.6}$ (see Figure 1). This correlation holds over eight orders of magnitude in L_X and includes data from BHBs in quiescence. A similar correlation is found in low-mass neutron star X-ray binaries in the hard state. Similarly to what happens for the radio emission, for thermal dominant state BHBs all of the near-IR and some optical emissions are suppressed, indicating that the jet is quenched during the hard-to-thermal tran-

sition. By comparing these empirical correlations with existing models, Russell et al. come to the conclusion that, for the BHs, X-ray reprocessing in the disc and emission from the jets both contribute to the optical-IR while in the hard state, with the jet accounting for up to 90 per cent of the near-IR emission. In addition, it is shown that the optically thick jet spectrum of BHBs is likely to extend to near the K band.

X-ray reprocessing dominates in the hard state neutron stars, with possible contributions from the jets and the viscously heated disc, only at high luminosities.

Indications for a jet contribution in the IR band also come from variability (e.g. Homan et al. 2005, Hynes et al. 2003, 2006) and polarization studies (e.g. Dubus & Chaty 2006; Shahbaz et al. 2008).

5. Spitzer Space Telescope Observations

5.1. Quiescent Black Holes

The infrared spectra of BHBs with a low mass donor star are likely shaped by a number of competing emission mechanisms, among which are: reprocessing of accretion-powered X-ray and ultraviolet photons, either by the donor star surface or by the outer accretion disk, direct thermal emission from the outer disk, non-thermal synchrotron emission from a relativistic outflow, and thermal emission from circumbinary dust.

The Spitzer Space Telescope offers the opportunity for the first time to identify and characterize the properties of highly sub-Eddington Galactic BHBs in the mid-infrared band, a frequency window that is still largely unexplored for these systems, and which can prove to be crucial for our understanding of the overall structure of the accretion flow in quiescence. While there is general agreement that in this regime the X-rays are produced by a population of high-energy electrons near to the black hole, the controversy comes about in modeling the contribution from inflowing vs. outflowing particles, and their relative energy budget (e.g. McClintock & Remillard 2006). There is mounting evidence that synchrotron emitting outflows survive at very low Eddington ratios ($10^{-8.5} L_{\text{Edd}}$; Gallo et al. 2006), and that their mechanical power is comparable to the bolometric X-ray luminosity in higher luminosity sources ($10^{-2} L_{\text{Edd}}$; Gallo et al. 2005; Russell et al. 2007). However, in the absence of information from the mid-IR band, disentangling the relative contributions of inflow vs. outflow to the radiation spectrum and global accretion energy budget can be quite challenging. Estimates of the jet power based on its radiation spectrum depend crucially on the frequency at which the flat, partially self-absorbed radio spectrum turns and becomes optically thin (the jet ‘break’).

Because of the low flux levels expected from the jets in this regime (10–100s of μJy , based on extrapolation from the radio band), combined with the companion star/outer disk contamination at near-IR frequencies, the sensitivity and leverage offered by Spitzer IRAC and MIPS are crucial for determining the location of the jet break. In turn, this can constrain the possible contribution of the jet to hard X-rays, and hence the accretion flow model. Recent Spitzer observations of three quiescent black hole X-ray binaries (Muno & Mauerhan 2006) have shown evidence for excess emission with respect to the Rayleigh-Jeans tail of the companion star between 8–24 μm (the disk contribution is negligible in all three cases). This excess, which has been interpreted as due to thermal emission from cool circumbinary material by Muno & Mauerhan (2006), is also consistent with the extrapolation of the measured radio flux assuming a slightly inverted spectrum, typical of partially self-absorbed synchrotron emission from a conical jet (Gallo et al. 2007). If so, then the jet synchrotron luminosity exceeds the measured X-ray luminosity by a factor of a few in these




Figure 2. Broadband (VLA+Spitzer+SMARTS+Chandra) modeling of the black hole A0620-00 ($L_X/L_{\text{Edd}} \sim 10^{-8}$) with a ‘jet-dominated’ model. The fitted parameters land in a range of values that is reminiscent of the Galactic Center black hole Sgr A*. Most notably, the inferred ratio of the jet acceleration rate to local cooling rates is two orders of magnitude weaker with respect to higher luminosity, hard state sources. From Gallo et al. (2007).

systems. Accordingly, the mechanical power stored in the jet exceeds the bolometric X-ray luminosity at least by 4 orders of magnitude (based on kinetic luminosity function of Galactic X-ray binary jets; Heinz & Grimm 2005).

Within this framework, the broadband SED (VLA/Spitzer/SMARTS/Chandra) of the $10^{-8.5} L_{\text{Edd}}$ black hole A0620-00 can be fit with a ‘maximally jet-dominated’ model in which the radio through the soft X-rays are dominated by synchrotron emission, while the hard X-rays are dominated by inverse Compton at the jet base (see Figure 2), as described below.

The model is most sensitive to the fitted parameter N_j , which acts as a normalization, though it is not strictly equivalent to the total power in the jets. It dictates the power initially divided between the particles and magnetic field at the base of the jet, and is expressed in terms of a fraction of L_{Edd} . Once N_j is specified and conservation is assumed, the macroscopic physical parameters along the jet are determined assuming that the jet power is roughly shared between the internal and external pressures. The radiating particles enter the base of the jet where the bulk velocities are lowest, with a quasi-thermal distribution. Starting at location z_{acc} in the jets, a free parameter, a fraction of 85% of the particles are accelerated into a power law with index p , also a fitted parameter. The maximum energy of the accelerated leptons is calculated by setting the acceleration rate to the local cooling rates from synchrotron and inverse Compton radiation at z_{acc} . If the acceleration process is diffusive Fermi acceleration, the acceleration rate depends on the factor $f = \frac{(u_{\text{acc}}/c)^2}{f_{\text{sc}}}$, where u_{acc} is the shock speed relative to the bulk plasma flow, and f_{sc} is the ratio of the scattering mean free path to the gyro-radius. Because neither plasma parameter is known, the model fits for the parameter f , which thus reflects the *efficiency of acceleration*. In the case of A0620-00, f is found to be around two orders of magnitude lower than in higher luminosity sources.




Figure 3. Spitzer IRAC + UKIRT + SMARTS spectrum of the ultra-compact *neutron star* X-ray binary 4U0614+091. The 2 components are due to optically thin synchrotron emission from a jet (solid line) and the tail of the disk thermal emission (dotted line). The jet is optically thin at $\nu < 4 \times 10^{13}$ Hz, implying that, unlike in the black holes, it cannot contribute significantly to the X-ray emission (Migliari et al. 2006).

This ‘weak acceleration’ scenario is reminiscent of the Galactic Center super-massive BH Sgr A*. Within this framework, the SED of Sgr A* does not require a power law of optically thin synchrotron emission after the break from its flat/inverted radio spectrum (Falcke & Markoff 2000). Therefore, if the radiating particles have a power law distribution, it must be so steep as to be indistinguishable from a Maxwellian in the optically thin regime. Something similar, albeit less extreme, is occurring in the quiescent BHB A0620–00; either scenario implies that acceleration in the jets is inefficient at $10^{-9} - 10^{-8} L_{\text{Edd}}$.

5.2. Ultra-compact Neutron Star X-ray Binaries

This multi-wavelength approach has been extended to neutron star X-ray binaries. By means of coordinated VLA, Spitzer (IRAC), YALO SMARTS and RXTE observations, Migliari et al. (2006) have provided the first spectroscopic evidence for the presence of a jet in a low-luminosity ultra-compact neutron star X-ray binary (4U0614+091). The Spitzer IRAC data (Figure 3, circles) show a neat optically *thin* synchrotron spectrum ($F_\nu \propto \nu^{-0.6}$). Accordingly, the inferred upper limit on the break frequency of the spectrum is $\nu_{\text{thin}} = 3.7 \times 10^{13}$ Hz, which is lower than that observed in BHBs by at least a factor of 10. This implies that, in this system, the optically thin jet emission cannot possibly contribute substantially to the hard X-ray emission. Assuming a high-energy cooling cutoff at 1 keV, the total (integrated up to X-rays) jet power to X-ray bolometric luminosity ratio is about 5 per cent, much lower than that inferred in BHBs.

6. Open Issues

Rather than with a summary, I wish to conclude this review with a list of open issues that I regard as important:

- Do collimated jets survive at Eddington-scaled X-ray luminosities lower than a few per cent? It is often taken for granted that the steady unresolved radio sources with flat spectra that are associated with hard state BHBs are due to synchrotron emission from a collimated outflow. Yet this remains to be proven from the observational side.
- Are there fundamental differences between BHB and NS jets? While NSs tend to be fainter in the radio, there is no observational evidence for a different mechanism at work in the two classes, which is to say, there is no evidence for any mechanism that may be purely related to the direct extraction of energy from the BH spin.
- What is composition of the jets? Sparse indications come from circular polarization studies, but conclusive evidence is still lacking.

Acknowledgments. I wish to thank all my collaborators, and in particular Rob Fender, Sera Markoff, James Miller-Jones, Simone Migliari, and John Tomsick, whose work has especially contributed to shape this review. This work is supported by NASA through *Chandra* Postdoctoral Fellowship grant number PF5-60037, issued by the *Chandra* X-ray Center, which is operated by the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory for NASA under contract NAS8-03060.

References

- Belloni T. M. et al., 2006, MNRAS, 367, 1113
 Belloni T. M., Méndez M., King A. R., van der Klis M., van Paradijs J., 1997a, ApJ, 488, L109
 Belloni T. M., Méndez M., King A. R., van der Klis M., van Paradijs J., 1997b, ApJ, 479, L145
 Blandford R. D., Königl A., 1979, ApJ, 232, 34
 Brocksopp C. et al., 2005, MNRAS, 356, 125
 Cadolle-Bel M. et al., 2006, Proceedings of the VI Microquasar Workshop: Microquasars and beyond, Proceedings of Science, ed. T. Belloni
 Chaty S., 2006, Proceedings of the VI Microquasar Workshop: Microquasars and beyond, Proceedings of Science, ed. T. Belloni
 Corbel S., Fender R. P., 2002, ApJ, 573, L35
 Corbel S., Fender R. P., Tomsick J. A., Tzioumis A. K., Tingay S., 2004, ApJ, 617, 1272
 Corbel S., Nowak M., Fender R. P., Tzioumis A. K., Markoff S., 2003, A&A, 400, 1007
 Dhawan V., Mirabel I. F., Rodríguez L. F., 2000, ApJ, 543, 373
 Dubus & Chaty S., 2006, A&A, 458, 591
 Falcke H., Markoff S., 2000, A&A, 362, 113
 Fender R. P., Compact Stellar X-Ray Sources, in Lewin W. H. G., van der Klis M. eds, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 2006
 Fender R. P., 2003, MNRAS, 340, 1353
 Fender R. P., 2001, MNRAS, 322, 31
 Fender R. P., Belloni T., Gallo E., 2004, MNRAS, 355, 1105
 Fender R. P., Wu K., Johnston H., Tzioumis T., Jonker P. G., Spencer R., van der Klis M., 2004, Nature, 427, 222
 Fender R. P., Gallo E., Jonker P. G., 2003, MNRAS, 343, L99
 Fender R. P. et al., 1999, ApJ, 519, L165
 Gallo E. et al., 2007, ApJ, 670, 600

- Gallo E. et al., 2006, MNRAS, 370 1351
Gallo E. et al., 2005, Nature, 436, 819
Gallo E., Fender R. P., Pooley G. G., 2003, MNRAS, 344, 60
Heinz S., Grimm H. J., 2005, MNRAS, 633, 384
Heinz S., Merloni A., 2004, MNRAS, 355, L1
Homan J. et al., 2006, ApJ, 624, 295
Homan J., Wijnands R., van der Klis M., Belloni T., van Paradijs J., Klein-Wolt M., Fender R., Méndez M., 2001, ApJ, 132, 377
Hynes, R. et al., 2006, ApJ, 651, 401
Hynes, R. et al., 2003, MNRAS, 345, 292
Körding E., Jester S., Fender R., 2006, MNRAS, 372, 1366
Liu B. F. et al., 2007, ApJ, 671, 695
Markoff S., Nowak M. A., Wilms J., 2005, ApJ, 635 1203
Markoff S., Falcke H., Fender R., 2001, A&A, 372, L25
McClintock J. E., Remillard R. A., Compact Stellar X-Ray Sources, in Lewin W. H. G., van der Klis M., eds, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 2006
Miller J. M. et al., 2006, ApJ, 653, 525
Miller-Jones J. C. A., Fender R. P., Nakar E., 2006, MNRAS, 367, 1432
Migliari S. et al., 2006, ApJ, 643, L41
Migliari S. & Fender R. P., 2006, MNRAS, 366, 79
Muno M. & Mauerhan J., 2006, ApJ, 648, L135
Rodriguez J. et al. 2007, ApJ, 655, L97
Russell D. M. et al., 2007, MNRAS, 376, 1341
Russell D. M. et al., 2006, MNRAS, 371, 1334
Shahbaz T. et al., 2008, ApJ, 672, 510
Stirling A. M. et al., 2001, MNRAS, 327, 1273